

# (SG1) Multi-phase and Atomic/Molecular physics group report



Apr. 4, 2024 (M. Shoji)

Date: Apr. 3, 2024

Time: 14:35 – 16:45

Shot No.: 188832 – 188874 (43 shots)

Prior wall conditioning: None

Divertor pump: Off

Gas puff: H<sub>2</sub>, Ar

Pellet: TESPEL

NBI#(1, 2, 3, 4, 5) = gas(H, H, H, H, H)=P(4.8, 2.4, 1.9, 3.8, 5.5) MW

ECH(77GHz) = ant(1.5-Uo, 5.5-U, 2-OUR)=P(-, 0.71, 0.38) MW

ECH(154GHz) = ant(2-OLL, 2-OUL, 2-OLR)=P(0.71, 0.81, 0.98) MW

ICH(3.5U, 3.5L, 4.5U, 4.5L) = P(-, -, -, -) MW

## Topics

1. Impurity confinement and transport dependence on electron temperature gradient (D. Medina Roque, N. Tamura, I. García Cortés, K.J. McCarthy et al.)

# Impurity confinement and transport dependence on electron temperature gradient (D. Medina Roque, N. Tamura, I. García Cortés, K.J. McCarthy et al.)

---

**Magnetic configuration:** ( $R_{ax}$ , Polarity,  $B_t$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $B_q$ ) = (3.60 m, CW, 2.750 T, 1.2538, 100.0%)

**Shots:** #188832 - #188874

## Goal of this experiment

- To characterize the turbulent impurity transport in LHD from the viewpoint of Z-dependence
- Obtain data for comparisons with future results in TJ-II and W7-X

## Background & Motivation

- In a high-performance W7-X plasma, which has been achieved by successive hydrogen pellet injections, turbulent transport was much reduced
- It is important to characterize the impurity transport, especially from the viewpoint of its Z-dependence, when the turbulence contribution is changed

## Approach & Methodology

- Estimate an impurity decay time from the line intensity evolution for injected elements (**Ti, Cu, and Mo**) for turbulence-controlled plasmas using EUV/VUV spectrometers
- To change the turbulent contribution on impurity transport, the  $T_e$  gradient is changed with the change in the total ECRH absorption profile
- In the previous campaign, the  $n_e$  profile was flattened → we tried to get data in the peaked  $n_e$  profile

# Impurity confinement and transport dependence on electron temperature gradient

(D. Medina Roque, N. Tamura, I. García Cortés, K.J. McCarthy et al.)

## Results

- 2 ECRH heating patterns are applied in the plasma with  $n_{e\_bar} = 4E19 \text{ m}^{-3}$ 
  - A) #1, #4, #5, #7:  $r/a \sim 0.6$ , B) #1, #4, #5, #7:  $r/a \sim 0.0$
- In contrast to the previous campaign, we have observed **NO** impurity accumulation, even with almost the same  $n_{e0}$  &  $T_{e0}$
- A major different point in this campaign is a **baseline heating with perpendicular NBI**, not with tangential NBI
- The impurity accumulation is strongly related to the Er profile, which will be analyzed later (CXS with NBI#4 was worked)

