

(TG4) Plasma instability group report

Nov. 12, 2021 (Y. Takemura)

Date: Nov. 11, 2021

Time: 15:30 - 18:45

Shot#: 172413 – 172472 (60 shots)

Prior wall conditioning: None

Divertor pump: On

Gas puff: D2, Pellet: No

NBI#(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)=gas(H, D, D, D, D)=P(0.0, 2.2, 2.2, 0.0, 0.0)MW

ECH(77GHz)=ant(5.5-U, 2-OUR)=P(0.70, 0.79)MW

ECH(154GHz)=ant(2-OLL, 2-OUL, 2O-LR)=P(0.98, 0.93, 0.99)MW

Neutron yield integrated over experiment = 1.6×10^{16}

Topics

1. Real-time control to avoid radiative collapse (T. Yokoyama, UT)

Real-time control to avoid radiative collapse (T. Yokoyama, UT)

Shot #: 172413–172472 (60 shots)

Experimental conditions: $(R_{ax}, \text{Polarity}, B_t, \gamma, B_q) = (3.6 \text{ m}, \text{CCW}, 2.75 \text{ T}, 1.2538, 100.0\%)$

Background and motivation:

- Control and avoidance of radiative collapse is necessary to achieve stable high-density plasma.
- Control system based on result of data-driven approach was applied to D plasma.
- For detailed discussion on the mechanism of collapse, fast Thomson scattering (FTS) will be useful.

Results:

- Collapse in ramp-up phase was avoided by turning gas puff on/off and injecting boost ECH.
- Density did not develop as high as experiment in H plasma conducted in the previous cycle.
- Off-axis injection of ECH was used to improve effectivity of plasma heating in edge region.
 - No big difference was observed between on-axis and off-axis injection in collapse avoidance using boost ECH and gas puff control.
 - In both cases, collapse was not avoided using only ECH.
- Triggering FTS by control system did not work well.

